ELECTRONICALLY FILED Patrick N. Keegan, Esq. (SBN 167698) Superior Court of California, pkeegan@keeganbaker.com County of San Diego KEEGAN & BAKER, LLP 11/29/2021 at 08:07:00 PM 2292 Faraday Avenue, Suite 100 Carlsbad, CA 92008 Clerk of the Superior Court By Richard Day, Deputy Clerk (760) 929-9303 Tel: (760) 929-9260 Fax: 4 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN 249203) ak@kazlg.com Mona Amini, Esq. (SBN 296829) mona@akzlg.com KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Tel: (800) 400-6808 (800) 520-5523 9 Fax: Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSE OROZCO 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 14 R. O., a minor by and through CARA O., parent Lead Case No.: 37-2020-00011841-CU-BT-CTL and guardian ad litem, individually and on behalf (Consolidated with Case No.: 15 of all others similarly situated, 37-2020-00023102-CU-NP-CTL) 16 Plaintiff. CLASS ACTION 17 VS. SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF **HOSPITAL-SAN** 18 **RADY** CHILDREN'S PATRICK N. KEEGAN IN SUPPORT OF DIEGO, a California Corporation; and DOES 1 PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR 19 through 100, inclusive; PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF Defendants. PROPOSED CLASS ACTION 20 SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONAL JOSE OROZCO, a minor, by and through **CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT** 21 Guardian Litem. ad **JOANNA** VEGA. CLASS; APPROVAL OF CLASS NOTICE; 22 individually and on behalf of all others similarly AND APPOINTMENT OF CLASS situated. COUNSEL 23 Plaintiff. Date: December 10, 2021 VS. 24 Time: 1:30 p.m. **RADY** CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL-SAN Place: Department C-69 25 Judge: Hon. Katherine Bacal DIEGO, a California Corporation; and DOES 1 26 through 100, inclusive: Defendants. 27 28

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF PATRICK N. KEEGAN - CASE NO. 37-2020-00011841-CU-BT-CTL

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Partner of the law firm of Keegan & Baker, LLP, co-counsel of record for Plaintiff Jose Orozco, a minor, by and through Guardian ad Litem, Joanna Vega, and Class Counsel for the proposed Class in the above-captioned Consolidated Action. I am over the age of 18 and fully competent to make this declaration. This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, except where expressly noted otherwise, and if called as a witness, I would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I submit this supplemental declaration pursuant to the Court's Tentative Ruling (ROA #120) published on Novermber 10, 2021, and in support of the supplemental brief submitted by Plaintiffs R.O., a minor by and through Cara O., parent and Guardian ad Litem, and Jose Orozco, a minor, by and through Joanna Vega, parent and Guardian ad Litem (collectively "Plaintiffs") in further support of the unopposed motion for preliminary approval of the proposed Class Action Settlement¹ between Plaintiffs and Rady Children's Hospital San Diego ("Rady" or "Defendant"), to provisionally certify a settlement class pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 382, and for approval of the form and manner of notice for the proposed settlement to be provided to members of the Class.

Response to the Court's November 10, 2021 Tentative Ruling

3. In response to the Court's November 10, 2021 Tentative Ruling, Plaintiffs and Defendant (collectively "Parties") have met and conferred over and have agreed to changes to the release language, the proposed Class Notice and Claim Form. Specifically, on Friday, November 12, 2021, I proposed a change to the release claims definition in the Class Action Settlement Agreement via email to Jon Kardassakis and Whitney Betts, counsel of record for Defendant, in light of *Amaro v. Anaheim Arena Management, LLC* (2021) 69 Cal.App.5th 521 [284 Cal.Rptr.3d 566, 577–579], and requested a response by November 17, 2021. On Monday, November 15, 2021, Mr. Kardassakis respond to my email with an alternative proposed change to the release defined in Section XII(a) of the Class Action Settlement Agreement via email. In response, also on Monday, November 15, 2021, I responded to Mr. Kardassakis's email with an alternative proposed change to the release defined in Section XII(a) of the Class Action Settlement Agreement via email. On Thursday, November 18, 2021, Mr. Kardassakis respond to my email with an alternative proposed change to the the release defined in Section XII(a) of the Class Action Settlement Agreement via

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF PATRICK N. KEEGAN - CASE NO. 37-2020-00011841-CU-BT-CTL

¹ The proposed Settlement's terms are reflected in the Class Action Settlement Agreement attached as **Exhibit 1** to the to the Declaration of Alreen Haeggquist (ROA #111) submitted on October 15, 2021, concurrently with Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval (ROA #110).

email, which was ultimately acceptable to the Parties. Thereafter, the Parties drafted and entered into Amendment to the Class Action Settlement Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The Amendment to the Class Action Settlement Agreement changes the release defined in Section XII(a) of the Class Action Settlement Agreement to the following:

Plaintiffs and Class Members who fail to timely make a Request for Exclusion from the Settlement release Defendant and Released Parties from any and all claims or causes of action alleged in the Action and/or that could have been alleged in the Action, under the laws of any jurisdiction, including federal law, state law, and common law, whether at law or equity, that reasonably arise out of the same set of operative facts alleged in the Class Action Complaints filed in the *R.O.* Action and/or the *Orozco* Action. For avoidance of doubt, the scope of the Released Claims is limited to the operative facts set forth in the *R.O.* Class Action Complaint, the *Orozco* Class Action Complaint and/or the letter sent by Rady Children's Hospital – San Diego entitled "Notice of Data Security Incident," dated on or about February 21, 2020.

4. Additionally, on Monday, November 15, 2021, I proposed changes to the proposed Class Notice and Claim Form to Jon Kardassakis and Whitney Betts, counsel of record for Defendant. Lastly, on Monday, November 22, 2021, I proposed an additional change to the the first sentence in the response to Question 1 *Why Did I Receive This Notice?* to the Class Notice to Mr. Kardassakis. My proposed changes to proposed Class Notice and Claim Form were ultimately acceptable to counsel of record for Defendant. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the the Proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the the Proposed Claim Form For Rady Data Security Incident Benefits.

Summary of Plaintiffs' Allegations

5. This Consolidated Action is brought on behalf of 2,360 patients of Defendant for alleged violations of, *inter alia*, the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act ("CMIA"), Civil Code §§ 56, et seq. relating to the alleged disclosure of confidential medical information. On February 21, 2020, Rady mailed a letter to the named Plaintiffs and all other similarly situated patients, entitled "Notice of Data Security Incident," signed by Christina Galbo, MBA, CHC, Chief Compliance and Privacy of Rady, stating, in part, "we need to let you know about a data security incident involving patient health information," and also stating, "[o]n January 3, 2020, we learned of a data security incident that involved radiology-related patient information," and that "We learned that, between the dates of June 20, 2019 and January 3, 2020, some information for a limited number of patients was accessed without authorization via an Internet port. On February 5, 2020, our investigation determined that your information may have been involved."

1011

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

25

26

24

2728

6. On February 27, 2020, Plaintiff R.O., a minor, by and through Cara O., Parent and Guardian ad Litem, filed a Class Action Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, captioned *R.O., by and through Cara O., Parent and Guardian ad Litem v. Rady Children's Hospital-San Diego*, Case No. 37-2020-00011841-CU-BT-CTL, (the "*R.O.* Action") asserting claims against Rady for (1) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.) ("UCL"); (2) general negligence (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1708, 1714, subd. (a)); and (3) violation of the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 56, et seq.) ("CMIA").

On July 1, 2020, Plaintiff Jose Orozco, a minor, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, Joanna Vega, filed a Class Action Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, captioned Jose Orozco, a minor, by and through Guardian ad Litem, Joanna Vega v. Rady Children's Hospital-San Diego, Case No. 37-2020-00023102-CU-NP-CTL (the "Orozco Action") on behalf of himself and a putative class asserting claims against Rady for (1) violation of the CMIA (Cal. Civ. Code § 56, et seq.) ("CMIA"); and (2) violation of California's UCL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.). The CMIA provides that no health care provider shall disclose or release medical information regarding a patient of the provider without first obtaining authorization. It specifically provides that an individual may recover nominal or statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000, as well as actual damages according to proof, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36(b), against any person or entity who has negligently disclose or release his or her confidential medical information. An individual does not have to show that he or she suffered or was threatened with actual damages in order to recover_nominal or statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000. Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36(b)(1); see also, Eisenhower Medical Center v. Superior Court (2014) 226 Cal.App.4th 430, 434. Instead, an individual has to plausibly alleged and prove "that the confidential nature of the plaintiff's medical information was breached as a result of the health care provider's negligence." Regents of University of California v. Superior Court (2013) 220 Cal.App.4th 549, 570; see, Sutter Health v. Superior Court (2014) 227 Cal.App.4th 1546, 1557 (an individual has to plausibly alleged and prove that his or her "medical information" was "exposed to the view of an unauthorized person.").

Summary of the Proposed Settlement

8. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the proposed class of 2,360 patients of Defendant whose confidential medical information may have been accessed by unauthorized persons via the Internet between the dates of June 20, 2019 and January 3, 2020, have reached an agreement with Defendant to settle all claims in this litigation and agree that the following class can

be certified for settlement purposes: All patients of Defendant Rady who were admitted as radiology patients or received radiology-related treatment or services at one of Defendant's hospital, satellite or urgent care locations on or before January 3, 2020 and were mailed a letter sent by Rady entitled Notice of Data Breach, dated on or about February 21, 2020 (the "Class") [Settlement Agreement, §II.H]. The Class of 2,360 patients will be divided into two Sub-Classes:

- Sub-Class 1 consists of those 590 Class members whose information was on Rady's server HCIPAPV1.
- Sub-Class 2 consists of those 1,770 Class members who do not timely opt-out of participation of this settlement whose information was on server Rady's server HCIPAPV2 and not on server HCIPAPV1.

[Settlement Agreement, §IV.1]. The executed Settlement Agreement is attached to the Declaration of Robert Prine submitted concurrently herewith. Specifically, if the Court grants final approval, the Settlement will provide the following benefits to the Class:

- **Identity Theft Protection**: One (1) year of Experian Identity Works, including up to \$1 Million Identity Theft Insurance for all 2,360 Class members who submit a valid and timely claim form, which is valued by Class Counsel at \$239.88 for each Class member and at \$566,116.80² for the entire Class [Settlement Agreement, §IV.4-5, 16, 18];
- Cash Payment: For those 590 Class members whose information was on Rady's server HCIPAPV1 (Sub-Class 1) who submit a valid and timely claim form, a guaranteed cash payment of \$125.00; and for those 1,770 Class members whose information was on Rady's server HCIPAPV2 (Sub-Class 2) who submit a valid and timely claim form, a guaranteed cash payment of \$35.00, which is collectively valued at \$135,700.00³ for the entire Class [Settlement Agreement, §IV.4-5];
- Out-of-Pocket Expenses: For all 2,360 Class members who submit a valid and timely claim form, up to a maximum of \$400.00 for actual documented Out-of-Pocket Expenses⁴ incurred by that Class members after June 20, 2019 as a result of the Data Security Incident [Settlement Agreement, §IV.4-15, 24]; and

² This value was estimated by multiplying the number of Class Members (2,360) by the estimated retail value to consumers of approximately \$19.99 per month for 12 months for the comparable Experian IdentityWorks SM Premium product with nearly identical features which is available to the public directly through Experian. See https://www.experian.com/consumer-products/identity-theft-and-credit-rotection.html.

³ This value was reached by multiplying the number of Class Members in Sub-Class 1 (590) by the guaranteed monetary relief if a claim is made (\$125) and then adding the same calculation for the total number of Class Members in Sub-Class 2 (1,770) and their guaranteed monetary relief if a claim is made (\$35).

⁴ "Out-of-Pocket Expenses" as defined herein and in the Settlement Agreement means out-of-pocket expenses that were reasonably incurred as a result of the Data Security Incident for: (i) long distance telephone charges; (ii) cell minutes (if charged by minute), Internet usage charges (if charged by the minute or by the amount of data usage and incurred solely as a result of the Data Security Incident), and text messages (if charged by the message and incurred solely as a result of the Data Security Incident); (iii) unreimbursed charges from banks or credit card companies; (iv) postage; (v) unreimbursed costs for credit reports; and (vi) unreimbursed costs for of credit monitoring and identity theft protection first purchased by Settlement Class Members between February 21, 2020 and the Claims Deadline (with affirmative statement by Settlement Class Member that it was purchased primarily because of the Data Security Incident and not for other purposes, and with proof of purchase). "Data Security Incident" as defined herein and in the Settlement

- Remedial Measures: Additional data security measures to be taken by Rady, including Enhance Firewall Change Management and Logging Policy and Procedures, Change Management Process, Firewall Audit Discrete Findings, Certificate and Vulnerability Management, Penetration Testing, and Web Application Firewall, valued by Rady at approximately \$307,400.00, implemented by Rady as a result of this action [Settlement Agreement, §IV.19].⁵
- 9. In summary, the Settlement Benefits of Experian IdentityWorks Identity Theft Protection valued at \$566,116.80, plus Cash Payments valued at \$135,700.00, plus Remedial Measures valued at \$307,400, equals **\$1,009,216.80**.
- 10. The Settlement also provides for Defendant to pay (i) incentive or service awards of \$2,500.00 for each of the appointed Class Representatives [Settlement Agreement, §IV.20], subject to approval by this Court, (ii) an award of attorneys' fees and costs of \$175,000.00 to Class Counsel [Settlement Agreement, §IV.21], subject to approval by this Court, and (iii) all notice and settlement administration costs [Settlement Agreement, §IV.22]. My firm undertook this representation *solely* on a contingency basis and to date, Class Counsel has not received any payment for their services in conducting this litigation on behalf of Plaintiffs and members of the Class, nor have Class Counsel been reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and this declaration is executed this 29th day of November, 2021, in Carlsbad, California.

/s/ Patrick N. Keegan
Patrick N. Keegan

Agreement means the data security incident that involved possible unauthorized access to radiology-related patient information as referred to in the Data Security Incident notification letters sent to affected patients, or their parents or guardians, on or about February 21, 2020.

More specifically, the remedial measures by Rady, include: \$126,400 in expenses for developing and implementing a more expansive and balanced penetration test program; \$50,000 in estimated expenses for vulnerability management; \$50,000 in estimated expenses for changing management processes; \$31,000 in estimated expenses for implementing Cloud Based web application Firewall to protect Rady web applications; \$25,000 in estimated expenses for remediating twenty-two specific vulnerabilities and nineteen discrete Firewall changes; \$20,000 in estimated expenses for enhancing Firewall change management and logging policy and procedures; and \$5,000 in estimated expenses for certificate management. See Settlement Agreement §IV.G; and Supplemental Declaration of Sahan Fernando, Chief Information Security Officer for Rady Children's Hospital-San Diego.